

Affine structures on nilpotent contact Lie algebras

Elisabeth Remm
Université de Haute Alsace
MULHOUSE 68093

1 Introduction

Definition 1 *An affine structure on a n -dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, is a bilinear map*

$$\nabla : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 1) & \nabla(X, Y) - \nabla(Y, X) = [X, Y] \\ 2) & \nabla(X, \nabla(Y, Z)) - \nabla(Y, \nabla(X, Z)) = \nabla([X, Y], Z) \end{cases}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

To define an affine structure on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to give a left invariant affine flat and torsionfree connection on a connected Lie group G of associated Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Any Lie algebra equipped with a symplectic form can be equipped with an affine structure. On the other hand there exist $(2p + 1)$ -dimensional Lie algebras with contact form and no affine structure. But each nilpotent contact Lie algebra is a one-dimensional central extension of a symplectic algebra. The aim of this work is to study how we can extend, under certain conditions, the symplectic structure.

2 Nilpotent Lie algebras with a contact form

Definition 2 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an $(2p + 1)$ -dimensional algebra. A contact form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a linear form $\omega \neq 0$ of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $\omega \wedge (d\omega)^p \neq 0$. In this case $(\mathfrak{g}, \omega)$ or $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a contact Lie algebra.*

Proposition 3 ([G]) *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a contact nilpotent Lie algebra. Then the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is one-dimensional.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is $(2p+1)$ -dimensional and equipped with a contact form ω , $\dim Z(\mathfrak{g}) \leq 1$. This follows the fact that if we suppose that $\omega(Z(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$ then

$$\forall X \in Z(\mathfrak{g}) \quad d\omega(X, Y) = -\omega[X, Y] = 0.$$

Thus there exists X such that $\omega(X) = 0$ and $X \lrcorner d\omega = 0$, where $\lrcorner$ denotes the inner product. The vector X belongs to the characteristic subspace and $\omega \wedge d\omega^p = 0$. Thus $\omega(Z(\mathfrak{g})) \neq 0$ which proves that $\dim Z(\mathfrak{g}) \leq 1$. If moreover the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent then $\dim Z(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ as the center of a nilpotent Lie algebra is never zero. ■

Corollary 4 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a contact nilpotent Lie algebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is a symplectic Lie algebra.*

Thus any contact Lie algebra is a one-dimensional central extension of a symplectic Lie algebra:

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{2p+1} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_{2p}, \theta) \rightarrow 0.$$

As any symplectic nilpotent Lie algebra can be equipped with an affine structure, we have

Proposition 5 *Any nilpotent contact Lie algebra is a one-dimensional central extension of an affine Lie algebra.*

3 Affines structures on nilpotent contact Lie algebras

3.1 Extension of symplectic affine structure

Let $(\mathfrak{g}_{2p}, \theta)$ be a symplectic nilpotent Lie algebra and ∇ the affine structure coming from this symplectic form that is

$$\nabla_X Y = f(X)Y$$

where $f(X)$ is the following endomorphism:

$$\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \theta(f(X)(Y), Z) = -\theta(Y, [X, Z]).$$

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the contact Lie algebra defined by the one-dimensional extension

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow 0.$$

The Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ identified with $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ has the following brackets

$$[(X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda)]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = ([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta(X, Y))$$

Let $\tilde{\nabla} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an operator satisfying

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (Y, 0)) = (\nabla(X, Y), \varphi(X, Y)) \\ \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, \lambda)) = \tilde{\nabla}((0, \lambda), (X, 0)) \end{cases}$$

where φ is a bilinear map on $\mathfrak{g}$ such as

$$\varphi(X, Y) - \varphi(Y, X) = \theta(X, Y).$$

Lemma 6 *the operator $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies the following identity:*

$$\tilde{\nabla}((X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda)) - \tilde{\nabla}((Y, \lambda), (X, \alpha)) = [(X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda)]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

Proof. We have for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\nabla}((X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda)) - \tilde{\nabla}((Y, \lambda), (X, \alpha)) \\ &= (\nabla(X, Y), \varphi(X, Y)) + \lambda \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1)) + \alpha \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (Y, 0)) \\ &+ \alpha \lambda \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) - (\nabla(Y, X), \varphi(Y, X)) - \alpha \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), (0, 1)) \\ &- \lambda \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (X, 0)) - \lambda \alpha \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) \\ &= ([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta(X, Y)) \end{aligned}$$

But

$$[(X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda)]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = ([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta(X, Y)),$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{\nabla}((X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda)) - \tilde{\nabla}((Y, \lambda), (X, \alpha)) = [(X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda)]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

The operator $\tilde{\nabla}$ is associated to a flat torsionfree connection on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We can note that if $\tilde{\nabla}'$ is another bilinear map on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\pi^* \tilde{\nabla}' = \nabla$, the nullity of the torsion of the linear connection associated to $\tilde{\nabla}'$ implies that $\tilde{\nabla}'$ satisfies the same conditions (*). This justifies the choice of the conditions (*).

As we want that $\tilde{\nabla}$ defines an affine structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, we introduce, in order to study the curvature of the linear connection associated to $\tilde{\nabla}$, the following application:

$$\begin{aligned} & C((X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda), (Z, \rho)) \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}((X, \alpha), \tilde{\nabla}((Y, \lambda), (Z, \rho))) - \tilde{\nabla}((Y, \lambda), \tilde{\nabla}((X, \alpha), (Z, \rho))) \\ &- \tilde{\nabla}([(X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda)]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}, (Z, \rho)). \end{aligned}$$

This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & C((X, \alpha), (Y, \lambda), (Z, \rho)) \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}((X, \alpha), (\nabla(Y, Z), \varphi(Y, Z)) + \rho \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), (0, 1)) + \lambda \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (Z, 0)) + \\ &+ \lambda \rho \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1))) - \tilde{\nabla}((Y, \lambda), (\nabla(X, Z), \varphi(X, Z)) + \rho \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1)) + \\ &+ \alpha \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (Z, 0)) + \alpha \rho \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1))) - \tilde{\nabla}([X, Y]_{\mu}, \theta(X, Y), (Z, \rho)) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7 *The operator $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies:*

1)

$$\begin{aligned} & C((X, 0), (Y, 0), (Z, 0)) = (0, \varphi(X, \nabla(Y, Z)) - \varphi(Y, \nabla(X, Z)) - \varphi([X, Y]_{\mu}, Z)) \\ &+ \varphi(Y, Z) \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1)) - \varphi(X, Z) \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), (0, 1)) - \theta(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((Z, 0), (0, 1)) \end{aligned}$$

2)

$$C((X, 0), (0, 1), (Y, 0)) = \tilde{\nabla} \left((X, 0), \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), (0, 1)) \right) \\ - \tilde{\nabla}((\nabla(X, Y), 0), (0, 1)) - \varphi(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1))$$

3)

$$C((0, 1), (Y, 0), (0, 1)) = \tilde{\nabla} \left((0, 1), \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), (0, 1)) \right) - \tilde{\nabla} \left((Y, 0), \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) \right)$$

This follows directly when we develop the expressions.

Proposition 8 *If*

$$C((X, 0), (0, 1), (Y, 0)) = 0$$

then $C((X, 0), (Y, 0), (0, 1)) = 0$.

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} C((X, 0), (Y, 0), (0, 1)) &= \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), (0, 1))) - \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1))) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\nabla}([X, Y], \theta(X, Y), (0, 1)) \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}((\nabla(X, Y), 0), (0, 1)) + \varphi(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) - \tilde{\nabla}((\nabla(Y, X), 0), (0, 1)) \\ &\quad - \varphi(Y, X) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) - \tilde{\nabla}([X, Y], \theta(X, Y), (0, 1)) \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}([X, Y], 0, (0, 1)) + \theta(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) - \tilde{\nabla}([X, Y], 0, (0, 1)) \\ &\quad - \theta(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write some necessary conditions for the application C to be equal to zero. Let π be the canonical projection of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, that is :

$$\pi(X, \alpha) = X.$$

Let us identify $(X, 0)$ with X which permits to consider $\mathfrak{g}$ as a vector subspace of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let us denote V_X the vector defined by

$$V_X = \pi(\tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1))).$$

If $C = 0$ we have:

$$C((X, 0), (Y, 0), (Z, 0)) = 0$$

which implies that:

$$\varphi(Y, Z) V_X - \varphi(X, Z) V_Y - \theta(X, Y) V_Z = 0$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark. If $\varphi = 0$, we have $\theta = 0$, the extension is trivial and we came out of the symplectic case. The operator $\tilde{\nabla}$ define by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (Y, 0)) &= (\nabla(X, Y), 0) \\ \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, \lambda)) &= 0 \\ \tilde{\nabla}((0, \mu), (0, \lambda)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

give an affine structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ which is a direct sum of ideals

We must then suppose that $\varphi \neq 0$.

3.2 Case $\varphi = \frac{\theta}{2}$.

Then we have

$$\theta([X, Y], Z) = -\theta(Y, \nabla(X, Z))$$

and we deduce from the lemma the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & C((X, 0), (Y, 0), (Z, 0)) \\ = & -\frac{1}{2} \left(0, \theta([X, Y]_\mu, Z) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \theta(Y, Z) \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1)) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \theta(X, Z) \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), (0, 1)) - \theta(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((Z, 0), (0, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

For all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we define $a_X \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1)) = (V_X, a_X).$$

The nullity of the curvature tensor implies that

$$(**) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \theta(Y, Z) V_X - \frac{1}{2} \theta(X, Z) V_Y - \theta(X, Y) V_Z = 0 \\ \theta([X, Y]_\mu, Z) + \theta(Y, Z) a_X - \theta(X, Z) a_Y - 2\theta(X, Y) a_Z = 0 \end{cases}$$

As θ is of maximal rank, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exists $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such as $\theta(X, Z) = 0 = \theta(X, Y)$ and $\theta(Y, Z) = 1$. The first of the relations $(**)$ implies that $V_X = 0$. Thus

$$\tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1)) = (0, a_X)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), (0, 1))) = \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, a_Y)) = a_X a_Y (0, 1)$$

and

$$C((X, 0), (0, 1), (Y, 0)) = 0$$

implies that

$$a_X a_Y (0, 1) = a_{\nabla(X, Y)} (0, 1) + \frac{1}{2} \theta(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)).$$

Let us chose $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\theta(X, Y) = 1$. We can deduce

$$\pi(\tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1))) = 0$$

and then

$$\tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = (0, a), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} a_X a_Y &= a_{\nabla(X, Y)} + \frac{1}{2} \theta(X, Y) a \\ a_X a_Y &= a_{\nabla(Y, X)} - \frac{1}{2} \theta(X, Y) a \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(X, Y) a &= -a_{\nabla(X, Y)} + a_{\nabla(X, Y)} \\ &= -a_{[X, Y]}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the linear form α de $\mathfrak{g}^*$ defined by $\alpha(X) = a_X$. If $a \neq 0$, then $\theta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{a}d\alpha(X, Y)$. The symplectic cocycle θ is then exact. But on any nilpotent Lie algebra, the class of linear forms is odd ([G]). We deduce that it can not exist exact symplectic form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and the previous equality can not be true. If $a = 0$,

$$\tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = 0$$

and

$$a_{[X, Y]} = 0.$$

Then the application

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by $\alpha(X) = a_X$ defines a one-dimensional linear representation.

Proposition 9 *The application $\tilde{\nabla}$ on the contact Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ given by*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (Y, 0)) = (\nabla(X, Y), 1/2\theta(X, Y)) \\ \tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, \lambda)) = \tilde{\nabla}((0, \lambda), (X, 0)) \end{cases}$$

where ∇ is the affine structure on $\mathfrak{g} = \frac{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}{Z(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})}$ associated to the symplectic structure θ is an affine structure if there exists a one-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$\theta([X, Y]_{\mu}, Z) + \theta(Y, Z) a_X - \theta(X, Z) a_Y - 2\theta(X, Y) a_Z = 0$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

3.3 General case

We still suppose

$$\theta([X, Y], Z) = -\theta(Y, \nabla(X, Z))$$

We saw that the nullity of the curvature of the connection associated to the bilinear application $\tilde{\nabla}$ implies:

$$\varphi(Y, Z) V_X - \varphi(X, Z) V_Y - \theta(X, Y) V_Z = 0$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let us suppose that we have $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $V_X \neq 0$. Let us take a vector X satisfying this property. The orthogonal space for θ of the space $\mathbb{R}\{X\}$ is of codimension 1. Let $Y \in \mathbb{R}\{X\}^{\perp}$. Then $\theta(X, Y) = 0$ and

$$\varphi(Y, Z) V_X = \varphi(X, Z) V_Y, \quad Z \in \mathfrak{g}$$

If we can find a vector $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such as $\varphi(Y, Z) \neq 0$, we have that $V_Y \neq 0$ and in this case the non zero vectors V_X and V_Y are colinear. Let us assume that

$$V_X = \lambda_{X,Y} V_Y$$

then

$$\lambda_{X,Y} \varphi(Y, Z) = \varphi(X, Z)$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let us consider a basis $(X_1, \dots, X_{2m})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ in which the matrix of θ is reduced to the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This shows that the matrix of φ is at least of rank 2 because $\varphi(X, Y) - \varphi(Y, X) = \theta(X, Y)$. For instance, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is 4-dimensional, the matrix of φ would be of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_2 - 1 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_7 & \alpha_8 \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_7 & \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_8 & \alpha_{12} - 1 & \alpha_{16} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can suppose that $\varphi(X_1, \cdot) \neq 0$ (in other case, there would exist a vector X_i such that $\varphi(X_i, Z) \neq 0$ since φ is of non zero rank and it is sufficient to take a basis adapted to θ with X_i as first vector). Let Y belong to vector space $\{X_3, \dots, X_{2n}\}$; we have that $\theta(X_1, Y) = 0$

$$\varphi(X_1, Z) = \lambda_{X_1,Y} \varphi(Y, Z) \quad \text{for all } Z \in \mathfrak{g}$$

and

$$\lambda_{X_1,Y} \neq 0$$

Therefore the $(2m-2)$ last columns of the matrix of φ (associated to the chosen basis) are proportional to the first one. But we also have $\theta(X_2, X_3) = 0$ and

$$\varphi(X_2, Z) = \lambda_{X_2,X_3} \varphi(X_3, Z)$$

Similary we show that $\theta(X_1, X_3) = 0$ and

$$\varphi(X_1, Z) = \lambda_{X_1,X_3} \varphi(X_3, Z) \quad \text{for all } Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We deduce that $\varphi(X_2, Z) = \lambda \varphi(X_1, Z)$, which implies that φ is of rank 1. This is impossible and then we have $V_X = 0$.

Proposition 10 *Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a contact nilpotent Lie algebra. If the affine structure ∇ which is defined by a symplectic cocycle on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{Z(\mathfrak{g})}$ can be extended to an affine structure $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have:*

$$\pi(\tilde{\nabla}(X, T)) = 0$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $T \in Z(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$.

We have that for all vector X in $\mathfrak{g}$, $V_X = 0$ and $\tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1)) = (0, a_X)$. Then the equality $C((X, 0), (Y, 0), (Z, 0)) = 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(X, \nabla(Y, Z)) - \varphi(Y, \nabla(X, Z)) - \varphi([X, Y]_\mu, Z) \\ &= -a_X \varphi(Y, Z) + a_Y \varphi(X, Z) + a_Z \theta(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $C((X, 0), (0, 1), (Y, 0)) = 0$ implies that

$$\tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, a_Y)) - (0, a_{\nabla(X, Y)}) - \varphi(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = 0.$$

This gives the following equation

$$\varphi(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = (a_Y a_X - a_{\nabla(X, Y)})(0, 1)$$

and

$$\varphi(Y, X) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = (a_Y a_X - a_{\nabla(Y, X)})(0, 1)$$

if we permute the vectors X and Y . We combine this two equations to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) &= (a_{\nabla(Y, X)} - a_{\nabla(X, Y)})(0, 1) \\ &= a_{[X, Y]}(0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

This shows in particular that $\tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = \rho(0, 1)$ and

$$\rho \theta(X, Y) = a_{[X, Y]}.$$

Finally $C((0, 1), (Y, 0), (0, 1)) = 0$ implies

$$a_Y \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = \tilde{\nabla}((Y, 0), \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)))$$

thus

$$a_Y \tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = \rho(0, a_Y).$$

This last equation is already satisfied.

Then let us suppose $\rho \neq 0$. In this case $a_{[X, Y]} \neq 0$ when $\theta(X, Y) \neq 0$. Let us take X in $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. As θ is of maximal rank, there is one Y such that $\theta(X, Y) \neq 0$. But $[X, Y] = 0$ implies $a_{[X, Y]} = 0$. This leads to contradiction.

Conclusion . As $\rho = 0$ we have that $\tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = 0$. Then $a_{[X, Y]} = 0$ and the application $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\alpha(X) = a_X$ gives an one-dimensional linear representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. We deduce

Theorem 11 Let $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a one-dimensional linear representation of $\mathfrak{g}$.

When α is the trivial representation, $\tilde{\nabla}$ is an affine structure if and only if

1) $\tilde{\nabla}(U, (0, 1)) = 0$ for all $U \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

2) φ satisfies $\varphi(X, \nabla(Y, Z)) - \varphi(Y, \nabla(X, Z)) - \varphi([X, Y]_\mu, Z) = 0$, i.e. if it is a 2-cocycle for cohomology of the Vinberg algebra associated to ∇ with values in a trivial module.

When α is a non-trivial representation, $\tilde{\nabla}$ is an affine structure if and only if

1) $\tilde{\nabla}((0, 1), (0, 1)) = 0$, $\tilde{\nabla}((X, 0), (0, 1)) = 0$ for all $X \in \text{Ker} \alpha$.

2) $\varphi(X, \nabla(Y, Z)) - \varphi(Y, \nabla(X, Z)) - \varphi([X, Y]_\mu, Z) = \alpha(Z)\theta(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \text{Ker}(\alpha)$.

References

- [Au] Auslander L., *The structure of complet locally affine manifolds*. Topology **3** 1964 suppl.1, 131-139.
- [Be] Benoist Y., *Une nilvariété non affine*. J.Diff.Geom., **41**, (1995), 21-52.
- [Bu] Burde D., *Affine structures on nilmanifolds*. Int. J. of Math, **7** (1996), 599-616.
- [D-H] Dekimpe K., Hartl M., *Affine structures on 4-step nilpotent Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **120** (1997), no.1, 19-37.
- [F-G] Fried D., Goldman W., *Three dimensional affine crystallographic groups*. Adv. Math., **47**, (1983), 1-49.
- [G] Goze M., *Sur la classe des formes et systèmes invariants à gauche sur un groupe de Lie*. CRAS Paris Sér. A-B **283** (1976), no.7, Aiii, A499-A502.
- [G-K] Goze M., Khakimjanov Y., *Nilpotent Lie algebras*. Kluwer editor, 1995.
- [G-R] Goze M., Remm E., *Affine structures on abelian Lie algebras*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **360** (2003), 215-230.
- [H] Helmstetter J., *Radical d'une algèbre symétrique à gauche*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, **29** (1979), 17-35.
- [Ku] Kuiper N., *Sur les surfaces localement affines*. Colloque Géométrie différentielle Strasbourg, (1953), 79-87.
- [Ma] Malcev A., *Commutative subalgebras of semi-simple Lie algebras*. Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Sér. Math. [Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR] **9**, (1945), 291-300.
- [R] Remm E., *Structures affines sur les algèbres de Lie et opérades Lie-admissibles*, Thesis, december 2001.
- [S] Scheuneman J., *affine structures on three-step nilpotent Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1974), 451-454.